United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	V.)	5.40.0D.470.4D0	
	KEVIN HENKE) Case No.	5:16-CR-179-1BO	
	Defendant)		
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL				
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18	U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Fin	dings of Fact		
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted				
(of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense	se that would hav	ve been a federal offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is			
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more		offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or n	nore is prescribed in	
			.*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or		•	
	\Box any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:		
	☐ a minor victim			
	\Box the possession or use of a firearm or dest	ructive device or	any other dangerous weapon	
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	50		
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the \(\square \) date of	conviction	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1	1).		
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable of another person or the community. I further fit	• •	•	
	Alternative	Findings (A)		
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year			
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	•		
	· · · · · ·			

United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.			
Alternative Findings (B)				
1 (1) 1 (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
	I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and			
	acing evidence \Box a preponderance of the evidence that Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that car be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.			
	For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.			
	The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment			
	The apparent strength of the government's case The lack of a suitable custodian			
	The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state probation			
	The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations			
	Other:			
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention				
	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement			

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: August 11, 2016

Robert T Numbers II.

Judge's signature

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title